e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210



INTERACTION EFFECT OF COMPOST, BORON AND PHOSPHORIEN ON TOMATO QUALITY AND YIELD

Fouda K.F.; E.M. El-Hadidi; M.M. El-Shazly and H.M. Hegazy

Soils Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

Abstract

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) is among the most important vegetable crops grown in Egypt for exportation and local consumption. The purpose of this investigation was to assess the influence of organic and bio fertilizers with foliar spraying of boron element on improving the fruit quality and yield of the tomato plants in the winter season of 2018. The experimental design was a split-split plot design with three replicates for each treatment. The compost treatments were C₁: (5 m³ compost fed⁻¹), C₂: (10 m³ compost fed⁻¹) and C₃: (15 m³ compost fed⁻¹). The foliar boron treatments were B₀: (0.00 mgL⁻¹B), B₁: (50 mgL⁻¹ B) and B₂: (100 mgL⁻¹B). The bio-fertilization treatments were I₀: without inoculation and I₁: with inoculation at rate of 200 g phosphorien Fed⁻¹ in addition to control treatment. The values of all quality parameters of tomato fruits at harvest stage as well as tomato yield were significantly affected by the addition of all investigated treatments. For all traits except acidity%, the highest values were obtained at [C₃ (15 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹) × B₂ (100 mg B L⁻¹)×I₁ (with inoculation)] treatment, while the lowest values were obtained at control treatment [C₀ (without plant compost) × B₀ (without B foliar application) ×I₀ (without inoculation].On the contrary, the acidity % took an opposite trend, where the lowest values were obtained at [C₃×B₂×I₁] treatment, while the highest values were obtained at control treatment [C₀×B₀×I₀]. *Keywords*: Compost, phosphorien, boron, tomato plant.

Introduction

Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) of the family Solanaceae, is one of the most important vegetable crops from nutritional as well as consumptional point of view. More than 90% of the vitamin C in human diets is supplied by vegetables and fruits (of which tomato is the most important). Tomato fruits contain high amount of lycopene and ascorbic acid (Ilupeju et al., 2015). In the recent past, some investigations have been conducted to study the beneficial influences of adding crop residue compost into the soil. The practice enhances soil physical, chemical and biological activities in addition to improving crop yields and nutritional values (Ghosh et al., 2004; Ashutosh et al., 2006). The supply of organic materials on farms, even with the use of farmyard manure and or plant compost (from crop residues), will likely be insufficient to overcome soil nutrient deficiency. For example, high fruit yield due to compost addition were reported on tomatoes with combine application of 2 ton compost ha⁻¹ and 30 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹. In most of these studies, compost addition was observed to have positive influences which aid crop growth and development thereby enhancing the crop phytonutritional components (Togun et al., 2003 and Ilupeju et al., 2015).Boron plays an important role in the insemination and reproductive growth of tomato. It can impact on the tomato flowers and fruits production. B deficiency is one of the widely reported nutritional disorder in commercial tomato production, where it can reduce root growth and cause swollen hypocotyls and cotyledons, shortened internodes, irregular leaf expansion and abnormalities in cellular structure. The deficiency is accelerated by raising in soil pH and dryness around the root B fertilization significantly increased yield of zone. greenhouse tomato (Dursun et al., 2010 and Harris and Puvanitha 2018). In Egypt soils that characterized by high calcium carbonate content and pH, phosphorus precipitation and immobilization is the most important problem. Phosphorien is a bio-fertilizer product which is phosphoriencontaining phosphate-dissolving bacteria (PDB).application of the PDB to such soils caused significant increments in vegetative growth parameters and crops productivity (Taha,

2015). The objective of this study is to enhance the quality and fruit yield of tomato plants grown under alluvial clay condition in Delta region, Egypt and evaluation of different compost levels (rice straw) with foliar spraying of different boron rates under inoculation (phosphorien-containing phosphate-dissolving bacteria PDB) and find out the positive effect of these treatments on grown tomato plants because of the tomato importance as a strategic crop in Egypt.

Materials and Methods

To achieve the goal of this investigation, field experiment was conducted in the Gawadya Village, Belqas District, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt during the winter season of 2018 to assess the effect of plant compost, boron foliar application, phosphorien and their interactions on tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.) under alluvial clay soil conditions. The combined effects of compost, boron element and phosphorien on quality and yield of tomato plants were investigated by combining three compost rates and three spraying rates of boron. Also, each treatment was studied twice; once in the presence of strains inoculants and the other without inoculation. The used experimental design was splitsplit plot design. There are 3 factors under experimentation: Main plots were compost (rice straw) treatments which included compost levels i.e. (C_1) : Compost was applied at rate of 5 m^{3} fed⁻¹two weeks before sowing, (C₂): Compost was applied at rate of 10m³fed⁻¹two weeks before sowing and (C₃): Compost was applied at rate of $15m^{3}fed^{-1}two$ weeks before sowing. Sub plots were the foliar boron application treatments i.e., (B_0) : without boron addition (0.00 mgL⁻¹ B), (B₁): B was foliar applied as boric acid at rate of 50 mg L^{-1} and (B_2) : B was foliar applied as boric acid at rate of 100 mg L⁻¹. Sub sub plots were bio-fertilization treatments i.e., (I_0) : without inoculation and (I_1) : with inoculation at rate of 200 g phosphorien Fed⁻¹. Therefore treatments of the field experiment were 3 "compost rates" ×3 "boron rates" × 2 "bio fertilizer" in addition to control treatment (without inoculation, any addition of compost and foliar spraying of boron $[C_0 B_0 I_0]$) done in 3 replicates. Plot area = 6 m^2 .

Analysis of Soil: The soil was analyzed before planting as a routine work according to Dewis and Fertias (1970), Table 1 shows the some chemical and physical characteristics of alluvial soil. Chemical analysis of the compost (rice straw) used are presented in Table (2). All agricultural operations were performed according to the traditional local agriculture management practices. Tomato seedlings 'Super strain B' were transplanted on the 15th of October, 2018. At soil preparation, the compost was applied according to treatments two weeks before sowing. Phosphorien (Bacillus megatherium; phosphate-dissolving bacteria) was provided by the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture and mixing with fine clay soil and added at 7 days after sowing at the rhizosphere area. Boron fertilization; as boric acid was added by foliar at two different stages from transplanting (after 40 and 50 days). The amounts of mineral fertilizers were used as recommended by Egyptian Ministry of Agricultural. The plants were irrigated every week during the growing season. Other cultural practices; such as, plant protection against weeds, diseases and insects; were performed whenever they were thought to be necessary as recommended for commercial tomato production. Tomato fruit were handharvested at the fully ripe stage. One picking was harvested on January 25, 2019.

Table 1 : Some physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental soil before transplanting tomato seedlings.

| Soil Characteris | Values | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|
| | C. Sand | 2.100 |
| Particle size distribution | F. Sand | 19.60 |
| (%) | Silt | 28.00 |
| | Clay | 50.30 |
| | Textural class | Clay |
| EC d | Sm ^{-1*} | 1.500 |
| pH** | | 8.160 |
| CaC | 03 % | 2.090 |
| O.M | % | 1.130 |
| F.C | 35.50 | |
| S.P 4 | 71.00 | |
| | Ca++ | 5.500 |
| Soluble cations, meq L ⁻¹ | Mg++ | 2.900 |
| Soluble cations, mey L | K+ | 2.000 |
| | Na+ | 4.600 |
| | CO3 | |
| Soluble anions, meq L ⁻¹ | HCO ₃ | 0.900 |
| Soluble amons, meq L | Cl | 7.900 |
| | SO 4 | 6.200 |
| | Nitrogen (N) | 66.00 |
| Available element, mg kg ⁻¹ | Phosphorus (P) | 9.300 |
| | Potassium (K) | 285.6 |
| | Boron (B) | 1.980 |

Table 2 : Chemical analysis of the compost used.

| Characteristi | Values | |
|---|------------|-------|
| Weight of m ³ kg ⁻¹ | 325.0 | |
| рН 1:5 | | 6.140 |
| EC (1:10) (dSm ⁻¹) | | 3.710 |
| OM% | | 35.10 |
| Organic carbon% | | 20.41 |
| C/N ratio | | 13.90 |
| | Iron | 62.32 |
| | Manganese | 25.49 |
| Available micronutrients | Copper | 5.550 |
| and heavy metals | Zinc | 18.49 |
| (mg kg ⁻¹) | Lead | 5.950 |
| | Nickel | 2.150 |
| | Cadmium | 0.860 |
| | Nitrogen | 1.490 |
| Total Nutrients (%) | Phosphorus | 0.490 |
| | Potassium | 0.880 |

The following characteristics were inspected:

Quality parameters of tomato fruits: representative samples of tomato fruits were randomly taken from each treatment at harvest stage to determine the quality parameters of tomato fruits (vitamin C; mg $100g^{-1}$, total acidity in fruits; %, lycopene pigment; %, total carbohydrates and total sugars; %, total soluble solids content (TSS) of the fresh tomato fruits; % and percentage of dry matter after drying fruits at $70^{\circ}c$) according to A.O.A.C (1980).

Fruit yield: Harvesting was after100 days from transplanting. The total fruit yield (gram per plant), average number of fruits per plant and average weight of fruits; gram were recorded.

Statistical analysis: Data were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) using CoStat (Version 6.303, CoHort, USA, 1998–2004).

Results and Discussion

1. Fruit nutritional values:

Acidity (%), lycopene (%), total soluble solids(TSS %), total sugars(%), total carbohydrates (%) and ascorbic acid (V. C mg/100g) of tomato fruits as influenced by plant compost, phosphorin and boron foliar spraying as well as its interactions are presented in Tables (3 and 4). Data in Table (3) indicate that the values of all above mentioned parameters were significantly affected due to any addition of plant compost. On other words; this parameters were significantly increased with raising of adding level of plant compost, except for acidity % which significantly decreased with increase of adding level of plant compost.

| Treatments | Acidity | Lycopene | TSS | T. Sugar | T. Carbohydrates | V.C | |
|---|---------|----------|------|----------|---------------------|---------|--|
| | | | % | | | mg/100g | |
| Compost level | | | | | | | |
| $C_1 (5 m^3 fed^{-1})$ | 0.90 | 10.78 | 5.05 | 4.24 | 38.36 | 29.99 | |
| $C_2 (10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | 0.86 | 11.12 | 5.20 | 4.44 | 38.68 | 30.50 | |
| $C_3 (15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | 0.82 | 11.35 | 5.32 | 4.57 | 38.91 | 30.87 | |
| LSD at 5% | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 | |
| Boron level | | | | | | | |
| $B_0 (0.0 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ | 0.93 | 10.53 | 4.93 | 4.11 | 38.12 | 29.61 | |
| $B_1(50 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ | 0.84 | 11.24 | 5.26 | 4.50 | 38.80 | 30.69 | |
| $B_2(100 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ | 0.81 | 11.49 | 5.38 | 4.63 | 39.03 | 31.06 | |
| LSD at 5% | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | |
| Phosphorin application | | | | | | | |
| I_0 (without inoculation) | 0.97 | 10.27 | 4.78 | 3.97 | 37.87 | 29.20 | |
| I ₁ (with inoculation) | 0.75 | 11.90 | 5.60 | 4.86 | 39.43 | 31.71 | |
| LSD at 5% | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | |

Table 3 : Effect of compost, phosphorin and foliar spraying with boron on acidity(%), lycopene(%), TSS (%), total sugars (%), total carbohydrates (%) and V.C (mg/100g) in tomato at harvest stage.

In this respect, for all above mentioned parameters except acidity, the highest values were realized due to the addition of 15 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹ (C₃) as soil application followed by 10 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹(C₂) and 5 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹ (C₁), respectively. As for total acidity %, the lowest values were realized due to the addition of 15 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹ (C₃) as soil application followed by 10 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹ (C₁), respectively. As for total acidity %, the lowest values were realized due to the addition of 15 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹ (C₃) as soil application followed by 10 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹ (C₁), respectively.

Regarding the effect of boron foliar spraying on aforementioned traits data at the same Table (3) indicate that the values of lycopene (%), total soluble solids(TSS %), total sugars(%), total carbohydrates (%) and ascorbic acid (V. C mg/100g) of tomato plants were significantly increased as the levels of B were increased, where the highest values were realized for the plants treated with boron foliar spraying at the rate of 100 mg B L^{-1} (B₂) while, the lowest one was happened for the B_0 treatment (0.0 mg B L⁻¹). On the contrary, the values of acidity% of tomato plants were significantly decreased as the levels of B were increased, where the lowest values were realized for the plants treated with boron foliar spraying at the rate of 100 mg B L^{-1} (B₂) while, the highest one was happened for the B_0 treatment (0.0 mg B L⁻¹). Concerning the effect of phosphorin data at Table (3) reveal that; the values of all above mentioned parameters of tomato plants treated with phosphorin (I_1) were more than obtained for the untreated plants (I_0), except for acidity % which significantly decreased with phosphorin (I_1) . The differences between these values were significant during the season of the experimentation.

The interaction effect between the treatments under study are presented in Table (4). It could be observed that; the values of acidity (%), lycopene (%), total soluble solids(TSS %), total sugars(%), total carbohydrates (%) and ascorbic acid (V. C mg/100g) of tomato fruits were significantly affected due to the addition of all investigated treatments. For all above mentioned parameters except acidity%, the highest values were at [C₃ (15 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹) × B₂ (100 mg B L⁻¹)×I₁(with inoculation)] treatment, while the lowest values were at control treatment [C₀ (without plant compost) × B₀ (without B foliar application) ×I₀ (without inoculation)]. Also, the data show that spraying boron at the rate of 100 mg B L⁻¹ (B₂) with inoculation (I₁) gave higher values at any level of plant compost compared to that same plant compost level without both boron application and inoculation. As for acidity, the lowest values were at [C₃ (15 m³ plant compost fed⁻¹) × B₂ (100 mg B L⁻¹)×I₁(with inoculation)] treatment, while the highest values were at control treatment [C₀ (without plant compost) × B₀ (without B foliar application) ×I₀ (without inoculation)].Also, the data show that spraying boron at the rate of 100 mg B L⁻¹ (B₂) with inoculation (I₁) gave lower values at any level of plant compost compared to that same plant compost level without both boron application and inoculation. This trend was realized for the two studied seasons.

The results presented here, in agreement with several earlier reports, show that total sugars (primarily reducing sugars) were positively correlated to pH and titratable acidity. The authors illustrated that positive correlation between sugars and pH and between sugars and titratable acidity means that plants with high sugars have more free organic acids and less hydrogen ion concentration than plants with low sugars Getinet et al. (2008). Our results were in harmony with the results of Mohd et al. (2002); Kumar et al. (2007); Ghorbani et al. (2008); El-Tohamy et al. (2009); Ekinci et al. (2015) who reported that contents of total soluble solids (TSS) and vitamin C increased in the fruits harvested from the plants treated with combination of compost and boron under inoculation. Boron significantly increased total sugars, vitamin .C and TSS of tomato cv. Zare (2013). Also, our results agree with Mahmoud et al. (2009) reported that plant residues compost significantly who increased quality of cucumber like vitamin .C and TSS and when compared to the control (without compost).

2- Yield and its components:

Data in Table (5) show the individual effect of different plant compost levels on yield and its components i.e. fruits dry matter (%), No. of fruit plant⁻¹, fresh weight of fruit (g plant⁻¹) and total yield (g plant⁻¹) of tomato plant . It could be observed that; the values of all aforementioned traits were significantly affected due to the application of different levels of plant compost, except for No. of fruits plant⁻¹ which had no significant effect, where the highest values recorded

with addition of plant compost at rate of 15 m³ fed⁻¹ (C₃) following with addition of plant compost at rate of 10 m³ fed⁻¹ (C₂) and lately addition of plant compost at rate of 5 m³ fed⁻¹ (C₁). Treatments sequence from top to less was the C₃ (15 m³ fed⁻¹) > C₂ (10 m³ fed⁻¹) > C₁ (5 m³ fed⁻¹).

The statistical analysis of the data presented in Table (5) indicate that spraying boron element at the rate of 50.0 and 100 mg B L⁻¹significantly increased the yield and its components i.e. fruits dry matter (%), No. of fruit plant⁻¹, fresh weight of fruit (g plant⁻¹) and total yield (g plant⁻¹) of tomato plant .The data indicate that the spraying of 100 mg B L⁻¹ (B₂ treatment) produced higher values of all above mentioned parameters than that obtained for the B₀ and B₁treatments. Treatments sequence from top to less was the B₂ (100 mg B L⁻¹) > B₁ (50 mg B L⁻¹) > B₀ (0.0 mg B L⁻¹). Data of the same Table indicated that the tomato plants treated with phosphorin (I₁) had the highest values of fruits dry matter (%), No. of fruit plant⁻¹, fresh weight of fruit (g plant⁻¹) and total yield (g plant⁻¹) comparing with untreated plants (I₀).

Data presented in Table (6) indicate that the interaction effect between plant compost, boron element and inoculation on fruits dry matter (%), No. of fruit plant⁻¹, fresh weight of fruit (g plant⁻¹) and total yield (g plant⁻¹) of tomato plant of tomato plants , where the application of plant compost at a rate of 15 m³ fed⁻¹ (C₃) with the application of boron at a rate of 100 mg L⁻¹ (B₂) under inoculation (I₁) produced higher values of above mentioned parameters ,while the lowest values were recorded at the control treatment [C₀ (without plant compost) × B₀(without B foliar application) ×I₀ (without inoculation)].

Also, the data show that spraying boron at the rate of 100 mg B $L^{-1}(B_2)$ with inoculation (I₁) gave higher values at any level of plant compost compared to that same plant

compost level without both boron application and inoculation. Generally, the highest values were at $(C_3 B_2 I_1)$ treatment, it were 6.87, 22.33, 50.90 and 1134.67 for fruits dry matter (%), No. of fruit plant⁻¹, fresh weight of fruit (g plant⁻¹) and total yield (g plant⁻¹) of tomato plant of tomato plants, respectively, while the lowest values were at control treatment (C₀ B₀I₀), it were 4.25, 16.00, 40.57 and 786.67 for fruits dry matter (%), No. of fruit plant⁻¹, fresh weight of fruit (g plant⁻¹) and total yield (g plant⁻¹) of tomato plant of tomato plants, respectively. In this concern, many authors proved that plant compost, phosphorine, boron and their interaction affected fruit yield of tomato (Mohd et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2007; Ghorbani et al., 2008; El-Tohamy et al., 2009 ; Ekinci et al., 2015; Athokpam et al. (2016); Marajan et al. (2017) and Harris and Puvanitha, 2018). Besides, Mahmoud et al. (2009) stated that plant residues compost increased early and total yield of cucumber fruits . Also, These results are supported by the findings of (Meena, 2015), suggested that superior results of boron treatments to participate a beneficial role during cell division, nucleic acid synthesis, uptake of calcium and transport of carbohydrates. It also plays an important role in flowering and fruit formation (Alpaslan and Gunes 2001, Meena, 2015 and Harris and Puvanitha, 2018). The present results are in agreement with those obtained by (Tonfack et al., 2009). Also, the results of yield and its components of tomato were in harmony with the results of Patil et al. (2008) who suggested that the application of boric acid of 100 ppm resulted in maximum fruit yield of tomato (30.50 t ha⁻¹). Direct and residual effect of organic manures significantly increased the yield and quality of tomato fruit compared to no manure application (Kavitha et al., 2010). Also, our results are agree with Kamal et al. (2018) who indicated that PSB significantly increased tomato fruits per plant and yield.

| Treatments | | Acidity | Lycopene | TSS | T. Sugar | T. Carbohydrates | V.C | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|---------------------|-------|---------|
| | | | | | % | | | mg/100g |
| | C | Control | 1.08 | 9.34 | 4.33 | 3.47 | 27.82 | |
| 1 | \mathbf{B}_0 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 1.06 | 9.53 | 4.45 | 3.55 | 37.18 | 28.07 |
| fed^{-1} | (0.0 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.85 | 11.18 | 5.28 | 4.45 | 38.72 | 30.57 |
| ³ f | B ₁ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 1.00 | 10.09 | 4.68 | 3.87 | 37.71 | 28.95 |
| (5 m ³ | (50 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.78 | 11.71 | 5.51 | 4.74 | 39.26 | 31.43 |
| 0 | B_2 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 0.96 | 10.27 | 4.77 | 3.97 | 37.87 | 29.19 |
| C_1 | (100 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.76 | 11.91 | 5.60 | 4.87 | 39.42 | 31.72 |
| -1) | B_0 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 1.04 | 9.72 | 4.52 | 3.67 | 37.34 | 28.37 |
| fed ⁻¹) | (0.0 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.83 | 11.34 | 5.32 | 4.58 | 38.88 | 30.86 |
| 1 ³ 1 | B ₁ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 0.94 | 10.45 | 4.86 | 4.08 | 38.04 | 29.46 |
| (10 m ³ | (50 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.74 | 12.09 | 5.67 | 4.97 | 39.64 | 32.00 |
| $\overline{1}$ | B_2 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 0.90 | 10.88 | 5.04 | 4.27 | 38.39 | 30.03 |
| 5 C | (100 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.70 | 12.28 | 5.76 | 5.06 | 39.78 | 32.28 |
| .1) | B ₀ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 1.01 | 9.88 | 4.60 | 3.77 | 37.53 | 28.64 |
| fed ⁻¹) | (0.0 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.81 | 11.53 | 5.42 | 4.66 | 39.06 | 31.13 |
| 1 ³ 1 | B ₁ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 0.92 | 10.63 | 4.97 | 4.17 | 38.22 | 29.75 |
| (15 m ³ | (50 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.67 | 12.44 | 5.85 | 5.18 | 39.95 | 32.55 |
| .1 | B ₂ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 0.89 | 10.98 | 5.12 | 4.37 | 38.57 | 30.32 |
| Ű | (100 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 0.64 | 12.62 | 5.96 | 5.26 | 40.13 | 32.82 |
| | LSD at 5% | | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.14 |

Table 4 : Interaction effects between compost, phosphorin and foliar spraying with boron on acidity(%), lycopene(%), TSS (%),total sugars (%),total carbohydrates (%) and V.C (mg/100g) in tomato fruits at harvest stage.

| Treatments | D.M fruits % | No. of fruit plant ⁻¹ | Average fruit weight (g plant ⁻¹) | Total yield g plant ⁻¹ |
|---|--------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Compost level | | | | |
| $C_1 (5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | 5.62 | 19.78 | 45.30 | 968.67 |
| $C_2 (10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | 5.98 | 19.72 | 46.80 | 1003.11 |
| $C_3 (15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | 6.21 | 20.72 | 47.81 | 1055.61 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.04 | n.s | 0.13 | 5.87 |
| Boron level | | | | |
| $B_0 (0.0 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ | 5.48 | 19.39 | 44.88 | 975.28 |
| $B_1(50 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ | 6.03 | 20.00 | 46.69 | 998.67 |
| $B_2(100 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ | 6.30 | 20.83 | 48.33 | 1053.44 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.05 | 0.98 | 0.09 | 4.89 |
| Phosphorin application | | | | |
| I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.55 | 19.67 | 45.48 | 967.81 |
| I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.32 | 20.48 | 47.79 | 1050.44 |
| LSD at 5% | 0.04 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 5.08 |

Table 5 : Effect of compost, phosphorin and foliar spraying with boron on yield and its components of tomato plant.

Table 6 : Interaction effects between compost, phosphorin and foliar spraying with boron on yield and its components of tomato plant.

| | Treatm | ents | D.M fruits % | No. of fruit plant ⁻¹ | Average fruit weight/g | Total yield g plant ⁻¹ |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Cont | 4.25 | 16.00 | 40.57 | 786.670 | |
| | \mathbf{B}_0 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 4.57 | 18.00 | 42.60 | 884.670 |
| | (0.0 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 5.54 | 19.00 | 44.67 | 982.330 |
| C_1 (5 m ³ fed ⁻¹) | B ₁ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.40 | 19.67 | 44.07 | 925.330 |
| $(5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | (50 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.15 | 20.33 | 46.73 | 1002.33 |
| | B_2 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.67 | 20.33 | 45.63 | 970.670 |
| | (100 mgL ⁻¹) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.40 | 21.33 | 48.10 | 1046.67 |
| | B_0 (0.0 mgL ⁻¹) | I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.15 | 19.33 | 43.93 | 921.670 |
| | | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.02 | 19.67 | 46.00 | 1021.67 |
| C_2 (10 m ³ fed ⁻¹) | B_1 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.65 | 19.33 | 45.77 | 952.670 |
| $(10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ fed}^{-1})$ | (50 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.40 | 20.00 | 48.03 | 1031.67 |
| | B_2 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.95 | 19.67 | 47.20 | 1005.00 |
| | (100 mgL ⁻¹) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.72 | 20.33 | 49.87 | 1086.00 |
| | \mathbf{B}_0 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 5.35 | 20.00 | 45.10 | 971.670 |
| C_3 (15 m ³ fed ⁻¹) | (0.0 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.22 | 20.33 | 46.97 | 1069.67 |
| | B ₁ | I_0 (without inoculation) | 6.00 | 19.67 | 46.70 | 1001.00 |
| | (50 mgL^{-1}) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.60 | 21.00 | 48.87 | 1079.00 |
| | B_2 | I_0 (without inoculation) | 6.20 | 21.00 | 48.30 | 1077.67 |
| | (100 mgL ⁻¹) | I_1 (with inoculation) | 6.87 | 22.33 | 50.90 | 1134.67 |
| LSD at 5% | | | 0.11 | 1.730 | 0.240 | 15.2500 |

Conclusion

According to the obtained results in this investigation, tomato c.v 'Super strain B' treated with compost before planting at a rate of 15 m³ fed⁻¹ and sprayed with boron element at a rate of 100 mg L⁻¹ under inoculation with phosphorien was the best treatment that could be recommended to obtain the highest yield as well as improve fruit quality, especially TSS%, lycopene % and vitamin C of tomato fruit in Delta area and other regions with similar agroclimate conditions.

References

- A.O.A.C (1980). "Association of official agriculture chemistry" Official Methods of Analysis, Washington, D.C.10th ed.
- Alpaslan, M. and Gunes, A. (2001). Interactive effects of boron and salinity stress on the growth, membrane

permeability and mineral composition of tomato and cucumber plants. Journal of Plant and Soil, 236: 123-128.

- Ancuţa, D.; Renata, S. and Şumălan, R. (2013). Influence of different types of composts on growth and chlorophyll content from tomato seedlings. JOURNAL of Horticulture, Forestry and Biotechnology. 17(4): 43-48.
- Ashutosh, S.; Raja, M.R. and Rina, C. (2006). Effects of four diffrenet doses or organic manures in the production of *Ceriodaphnia cornuta*. Bioresour. Technol. 47:1036-1040.
- Athokpam, H.; Wani, S.H.; Sarangthem, I. and Singh, N.A. (2016). Effects of vermicompost and boron on tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Pusa ruby) flowering, fruit ripening, yield and soil fertility in acid soils.

International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. Paper No. 512.

- Bingham, F.T. (1982). Boron. In A.L. Page et al. (ed). Methods of soil analysis (2nd) ed. Agron. ASA, Madison, Wisconsim. 9: 431-447.
- Champman, H.D. and Pratt, P.F. (1978). Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters Univ. California, Div. Agric. Sci. Priced Publ. 4034.
- Dewis, J. and Feritas, F. (1970). Physical and Chemical Methods of Soil and Water Analysis, FAO, Rome, soil Bulletin, No. 10.
- Dursun, A.; Turan, M.; Ekinci, M.; Gunes, A.; Ataoglu, N.; Esringu, A. and Yildirim, E. (2010). Effects of boron fertilizer on tomato, pepper, and cucumber yields and chemical composition. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 41(13): 1576-1593.
- Ekinci, M.; Esring, A.; Dursun, A.; Yildirim, E.; Turan, M.; Karaman, M.R. and Arjumend, T. (2015). Growth, yield, and calcium and boron uptake of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) and cucumber (*Cucumis* sativus L.) as affected by calcium and boron humate application in greenhouse conditions. Turk J Agric For. 39: 613-632.
- El-Tohamy, W.A.; El-Abagy, H.M.; El-Greadly, N.H.M. and Gruda, N. (2008). Hormonal changes, growth and yield of tomato plants in response to chemical and biofertilization application in sandy soils. Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality 82: 179–182.
- Fahmy, M.A.E.M. (2012). Effect of some treatments on growth, Yield and fruit chemical compostion of melon and cucumber crops under Sandy soils conditions. Thesis. Master. Sci. Fac. Agri., Cairo Univ, Egypt.
- Farahat, A.A., Alsayed, A.A.; El-Beltagi, H.S. and Mahfoud, N.M. (2012). Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers on nematode reproduction and biochemical alterations on tomato, 4(1): 48-55.
- Getinet, H.; Seyoum, T. and Woldetsadik, K. (2008). The effect of cultivar, maturity stage and storage environment on quality of tomatoes. Journal of Food Engineering, 87(4): 467-478.
- Ghorbani, R.; Koocheki, A.; Jahan, M. and Asadi, G.A. (2008). Impact of organic amendments and compost extracts on tomato production and storability in agroecological systems. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 3.
- Ghosh, P.K.; Ajayi, K.K.; Manna, M.C.; Mandal, K.G.; Mistra, A.K. and Hati, K.M. (2004). Comparative effectiveness of cattle manure, poultry manure, phosphocompost and fertilizer NPK on three cropping systems in Ventisols of semi-arid tropics. II. Dry matter yield, nodulation, chlorophyll content and enzyme activity. Bioresour. Technol. 95: 85-93.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 680.
- Gotteni, A.L.; Verloo, L.G. and Camerlynch, G. (1982). Chemical Analysis of Soil Lap of Analytical and Agro Chemistry, state Univ., Ghent, Belgium.
- Harris, K.D. and Puvanitha, S. (2018). Influence of Foliar Application of Boron and Copper on Growth and Yield of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv 'Thilina'). AGRIEAST: Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 11(2): 12-19.
- Ilupeju, E.A.O.; Akanbi, W.B.; Olaniyi, J.O.; Lawal, B.A.; Ojo, M.A. and Akintokun, P.O. (2015). Impact of

organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, fruit yield, nutritional and lycopene contents of three varieties of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* (L.) Mill) in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 14(31): 2424-2433.

- Jones, J.; Wolf, B.J.B. and Mills, H.A. (1991). Plant analysis Handbook: A Practical Sampling, Preparation, Analysis, and Interpretative Guide. Micro-Macro Publishing, Athens, Ga.
- Kamal, M.; Rajkumar, M. and Raghav, M. (2018). Effect of bio fertilizers on growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(2): 2542-2545.
- Kavitha, P.; Rao, K.J. and Reddy, A.R.C. (2010). Direct and residual effects of organic manures on yield, quality of edible parts and economics in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.)-cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. capitata) cropping sequence. Crop Research (Hisar), 40(1/3):101-108.
- Kocevsky, G.J.V.; Jakimov, N.D.; Kekic, M.M. and Koleva, R.L. (1996). The effect of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, and boron on the yield, morphological, and quality characteristics of industrial tomatoes. Acta Hort. 462: 183-186.
- Kumar, A.; Kumar, J. and Babu, R. (2007). Effect of inorganic and bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato(*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Journal article, 7(1/2):151-152.
- Kumaran, S.S.; Natarajan, S. and Thamburaj, S. (1998). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato. South Indian Horticulture, 46(3/6): 203-205.
- Mahmoud, E.; Abd El-Kader, N. and Robin, P. (2009). Effects of different organic and inorganic fertilizers on cucumber yield and some soil properties. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5(4): 408-414.
- Marajan, W.A.; Hadad, M.A.; Gafer, M.O.; Khalifa, K.M.;
 Hatim, S.A. and Abdelrhman, M.A. (2017). Influence of Bio-organic Fertilizers on Tomato Plants Growth under Deep Tillage Preparation in Western Omdurman Soil. IJRDO-Journal of Agriculture and Research, 3(8): 6.
- Meena, S. (2015). Effect of Humic Acid and Micronutrients on Growth, Yield and Quality of Cucumber under Polyhouse Condition". Thesis Master Sci. Fac. Agri., Rajasthan Univ, India.
- Mohd, R.; Narwadkar, P.R.; Prabu, T. and Sajindranath, A.K. (2002). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Journal of Soils and Crops, 12(2): 167-169.
- Patil, B.C.; Hosamani, R.M.; Ajjappalavara, P.S.; Naik, B.H.; Smitha, R.P. and Ukkund, K.C. (2008). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield components of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Karnataka J. Agric. Sc. 21(3): 428-430.
- Peters, I.S.; Combs, B.; Hoskins, I.; Iarman, I.; Kover, M. and Wolf, N. (2003). Recommended Methods of Manure Analysis. Univ. of Wisconsin, Cooperative extension Publ., Madison.
- Samet, H.; Cikili, Y. and Dursun, S. (2015). The role of potassium in alleviating boron toxicity and combined effects on nutrient contents in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* 1.). Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 21(1): 64-70.

- Taha, R.S. (2015). Magnesium and phosphorien applications improve the efficiency of growth and productivity of squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) plants grown on a sandy calcareous soil. J. of Advanced Botany and Zoology, 4(1): 2348–7313.
- Togun, A.O.; Akanbi, W.B. and Dris, R. (2003). Influence of compost and Nitrogen fertilizer on growth, nutrient uptake and fruit yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Crop Res. 26(1): 98-105.
- Tonfack, L.B.; Bernadac, A.; YoumbI, E.; Mbouapouognigni, V.P.; Ngueguim, M. and Akoa, A.

(2009). Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers on tomato vigor, yield and fruit composition under tropical andosol soil conditions. Fruits, 64: 167–177.

- Uexkull, H.R. (1979). Tomato nutrition and fertilizer requirements in the tropics. First International Symposium on Tropical Tomato, 65-78.
- Zare, M. (2013). Influence of potassium and boron on some traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* CV. Darab2). The International Journal of Biotechnology, 2(8): 141-153.